

Reusable Cu₂O/PPh₃/TBAB System for the Cross-Couplings of Aryl Halides and Heteroaryl Halides with Terminal Alkynes

Bo-Xiao Tang, Feng Wang, Jin-Heng Li,* Ye-Xiang Xie, and Man-Bo Zhang*

Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology & Traditional Chinese Medicine Research (Ministry of Education), College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

jhli@hunnu.edu.cn

Received March 15, 2007

$$Ar - X + R - = \frac{Cu_2O, PPh_3}{TBAB, K_2CO_3} Ar - = R$$

$$135-140 \ ^{\circ}C$$

An efficient and reusable Cu₂O/PPh₃/TBAB (*n*-Bu₄NBr) system for the cross-coupling reactions of aryl and heteroaryl halides with terminal alkynes has been developed. Four types of Cu₂O, including bulky Cu₂O, cubic Cu₂O nanoparticles, octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, and spherical Cu₂O nanoparticles, were examined, and the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles were found to be the most effective catalyst for the reaction. In the presence of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, PPh₃, and TBAB, a variety of aryl and heteroaryl halides were reacted with alkynes including alkynols smoothly in moderate to good yields. Noteworthy is that the Cu₂O/PPh₃/TBAB system can be recovered and reused several times without loss of any activities.

Recently, much attention has been attracted to the use of copper complexes as the catalysts for the Sonogashira-type cross-coupling of aryl halides with terminal alkynes.^{1,2} This is because palladium complexes, the most commonly used catalysts for the Sonogashira-type cross-coupling reaction, are considerably expensive, which limits their application in industry.³ Recent studies show that ligated copper halides by PPh₃,^{2a} 1,10-phenanthroline,^{2b,e} *N*,*N*-dimethylglycine,^{2c} ethylenedi-

amine,^{2d} 2-aminopyrimidine-4,6-diol,^{2f} and tertiary amines^{2g,h} are effective catalysts in the Sonogashira reaction, while Cu₂O is a less active. Miura and co-workers,^{2a} for instance, have reported the first catalytic Sonogashira-type cross-couplings between aryl iodides or vinyl iodides with terminal alkynes using CuI/PPh₃ as the catalytic system and DMF as the solvent, but the Cu₂O/PPh₃ catalytic system provided a rather low yield of the Sonogashira product after 20 h even in the reaction of iodobenzene with phenylacetylene at 120 °C. On the other hand, the reported catalytic systems were not recovered and reused because of the harmful organic solvents (often DMF) in all of these copper-catalyzed transformations. Furthermore, alkynols were found to be unsuitable substrates, and heteroaryl halides as the substrates remain unexplored.^{1,2} Recently, the ligandfree copper nanocluster-catalyzed Sonogashira reaction was developed by Rothenberg and co-workers. However, no recycling of the copper nanoclusters process was tested, and the scope was still limited to the activated aromatic bromides.²ⁱ Very recently, we have also reported solventless Cu(OAc)₂-catalyzed couplings between aryl halides and terminal alkynes using TBAF as a base and 4,6-dimethoxypyrimidin-2-amine as a ligand, and only aryl iodides and the activated aryl bromides were suitable substrates for the Sonogashira coupling reaction.^{2f} From the point of economy and environment, the development of some efficient and reusable copper catalytic systems for the solventless Sonogashira reaction of a wide range of aryl halides is still challenging. Here, we wish to report the combination of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles with PPh₃ and TBAB as an efficient and reusable system for the Sonogashira-type crosscoupling reaction under solventless conditions (eq 1). It is noteworthy that the scope of the substrates is expanded to heteroaryl halides and alkynols.

$$Ar-X + R \xrightarrow{\qquad Cu_2O, PPh_3} Ar \xrightarrow{\qquad TBAB, K_2CO_3} Ar \xrightarrow{\qquad TBAB, K_2CO_3} (1)$$

Although many shapes of Cu₂O nanoparticles, such as octahedral,⁴ cube,⁵ wire, rod, and unformed amorphism,⁶ were prepared, few papers have been reported on the use of Cu₂O

⁽¹⁾ For special reviews on copper-catalyzed cross-couplings, see: (a) Siemsen, P.; Livingston, R. C.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int Ed. 2000, 39, 2632. (b) Hassan, J.; Sevignon, M.; Gozzi, C.; Schulz, E.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1359. (c) Ley, S. V.; Thomas, A. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5400. (d) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2337.

⁽²⁾ For papers on the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction catalyzed by a catalytic amount of copper, see: (a) Okuro, K.; Furuune, M.; Enna, M.; Miura, M.; Nomura, M. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 4716. (b) Gujadhur, R. K.; Bates, C. G.; Venkataraman, D. Org. Lett. **2001**, 3, 4315. (c) Ma, D, Liu, F. Chem. Commun. **2004**, 1934. (d) Wang, Y. F.; Deng, W.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q. X. Chin. Chem. Lett. **2005**, 16, 1197. (e) Saejueng, P.; Bates, C. G.; Venkataraman, D. Synthesis **2005**, 1706. (f) Xie, Y.-X.; Deng, C.-L.; Pi, S.-F.; Li, J.-H.; Yin, D.-L. Chin. J. Chem. **2006**, 24, 1290. (g) Guo, S.-M.; Deng, C.-L.; Li, J.-H. Chin. Chem. Lett. **2007**, 18, 13. (h) Li, J.-H.; Li, J.-L.; Wang, D.-P.; Pi, S.-F.; Xie, Y.-X.; Zhang, M.-B.; Hu, X.-C. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 72, 2053. (i) Thathagar, M. B.; Beckers, J.; Rothenberg, G. Green Chem. **2004**, 6, 215. (j) Li, J.-H.; Li, J.-L.; Xie, Y.-X. Synthesis **2007**, 984.

⁽³⁾ For reviews, see: (a) Diederich, F.; Stang, P. J. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998. (b) Miyaura, N. Cross-Coupling Reaction; Springer: Berlin, 2002. (c) de Meijere, A.; Diederich, F. Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (d) Doucei, H.; Hierso, J.-C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 834. (e) Chinchilla, R.; Nájera, C. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874.

⁽⁴⁾ For representative papers on the preparation of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, see: (a) Xu, H.; Wang, W.; Zhu, W. J. Phys. Chem. B **2006**, *110*, 13829. (b) Ng, C. H. B.; Fan, W. Y. J. Phys. Chem. B **2006**, *110*, 20801.

⁽⁵⁾ For representative papers on the preparation of the cubic Cu₂O nanoparticles, see: (a) Guo, L.; Murphy, C. J. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 231. (b) Zeng, X.-W.; Zhang, Y.-H.; Luo, C.-C.; Zeng, Y.-W.; Wang, Y.-G. Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. **2005**, *21*, 1515. (c) Singh, D. P.; Neti, N. R.; Sinha, A. S. K.; Srivastava, O. N. J. Phys. Chem. C **2007**, *111*, 1584.

⁽⁶⁾ For representative papers on the preparation of the other shapes of Cu₂O nanoparticles, see: (a) Cao, M.; Hu, C.; Wang, Y.; Guo, Y.; Guo, C.; Wang, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 1884. (b) Zhang, J.; Liu, J.; Peng, Q.; Wang, X.; Li, Y. *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 867. (c) Wu, W.-T.; Wang, Y.; Shi, L.; Pang, W.; Zhu, Q.; Xu, G.; Lu, F. J. Phys. Chem. B **2006**, *110*, 14702. (d) Yao, W.-T.; Yu, S.-H.; Zhou, Y.; Jiang, J.; Wu, Q.-S.; Zhang, L.; Jiang, J. J. Phys. Chem. B **2005**, *109*, 14011.

JOC Note

TABLE 1. Screening Conditions^a

	1a 2a	3	
entry	[Cu ₂ O]	ligand	yield (%) ^k
1	cubic Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	P(o-tol) ₃ (L1)	57
2	bulky Cu ₂ O	$P(o-tol)_3$ (L1)	55
3	spherical Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	P(o-tol) ₃ (L1)	63
4	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	$P(o-tol)_3$ (L1)	78
5	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles		trace
6^c	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	90
7	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PCy_3 (L3)	54
8	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	$P(2,6-diMeC_6H_4)_3$ (L4)	55
9	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	$P(n-Bu)_3$ (L5)	48
10	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	$POPh_3$ (L6)	70
11	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	1,1'-bipyridinyl (L7)	41
12	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	DABCO (L8)	65
13	cubic Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	76
14	bulky Cu ₂ O	PPh_3 (L2)	73
15	spherical Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	81
16^{d}	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	91
17^{e}	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	81
18 ^f	octahedral Cu ₂ O nanoparticles	PPh_3 (L2)	35

h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Average yield of three runs. ^d At 120 °C for 48 h. ^e Cs₂CO₃ (1 mmol) instead of K₂CO₃. ^f Et₃N instead of K₂CO₃.

nanoparticles in organic synthesis.⁷ Thus, further application of them as catalysts in organic synthesis should be intensively pursued. Very recently, we have developed the cubic Cu₂O nanoparticles combined with P(o-tol)₃ as an alternative Stille cross-coupling catalytic system in TBAB.7a We then attempted to apply the cubic Cu₂O nanoparticles/P(o-tol)₃ (L1)/TBAB system in the Sonogashira-type reaction of 1-bromo-4-nitrobenzene (1a) with phenylacetylene (2a). To our delight, a moderate yield of the target product 3 was isolated after 24 h (entry 1). It was found that the yield of **3** was reduced slightly using the bulky Cu₂O (entry 2). These results prompted us to further evaluate the copper catalyst. After a series of trials, we observed that the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles were highly active in the Sonogashira reaction (Table 1, entries 1-4). In the presence of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, L1, and TBAB, the yield of 3 was enhanced to 78% (entry 4). Subsequently, a series of other ligands were evaluated, and PPh3 (L2) provided the highest yield (entries 5-12). Without any ligands, substrate **1a** was treated with alkyne 2a, the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, K₂-CO₃, and TBAB at 135–140 °C for 24 h to afford a trace amount of 3, whereas the yield was increased sharply to 90% when PPh_3 (L2) was added (entries 5 and 6). Interestingly, the Cu₂O/L2/TBAB system could be recovered and reused at least three times without any loss of activity. The results of three runs showed that they were almost consistent in yields and rates (entry 6; run 1 = 88%; run 2 = 90%; and run 3 = 93%). The results showed that the cubic Cu₂O nanoparticles, the spherical Cu₂O nanoparticles, and the bulky Cu₂O were still inferior to the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles even in the presence of L2 (entries 6 and 13-15). Finally, both the reaction temperature and base effects were investigated (entries 6 and 16-18). It turned out that K₂CO₃ at 135–140 °C provided the best results (entry 6). Note that high yield of the target product 3 is still achieved when the reaction can be conducted at 120 °C after prolonging the reaction time (entry 16).

As listed in Table 2, a variety of aryl halides could undergo the reaction with terminal alkynes smoothly under the standard reaction conditions, demonstrating the versatility of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles. Aryl iodides 1b-1f, whether electron-deficient or electron-rich, all worked well with alkynes 2a-2e bearing aryl, heteroaryl, alkyl, or hydroxy groups in the presence of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, PPh₃ (L2), K₂CO₃, and TBAB (entries 1-11). Moreover, the Cu₂O/L2/ TBAB system in these couplings could be reused at least three times without loss of any activity. 1-Iodo-2-methylbenzene (1d), for instance, reacted with alkyne 2a efficiently to give similar results in five runs using the recovered system (entry 5). *p*-Iodoanisole (1e), a deactivated substrate, was also coupled with alkynes 2a-2e, respectively, to afford the target products 8-12 in moderate to good yields (entries 6-10). It is interesting to reveal that the reaction between 2-iodobenzaniline (1f) and alkyne 2a gave the corresponding 2-(2-phenylethynyl)benzenamine 13, not the often reported 2-phenyl-1*H*-indole,^{2,3} in a 50% yield (entry 11). It was found that the standard conditions were also effective for the reaction of another activated aryl bromide 1g (entry 12). Although higher loadings of Cu₂O were required, moderate yields were still achieved in the couplings of the less active bromides 1h and 1i (entries 13-15). While the reaction of bromobenzene 1h with alkyne 2a produced the corresponding desired product 4 in a rather low yield at a loading of 10 mol % of Cu₂O, the yield of 4 was increased to 55% in the presence of 20 mol % of Cu₂O (entries 13 and 14). Gratifyingly, the coupling of 1-chloro-4-nitrobenzene (1j) with alkyne 2a was conducted successfully in high yield in the presence of Cu₂O, L2, K₂CO₃, and TBAB (entry 16). Unfortunately, both chlorides 1k and 1l were not suitable substrates even in the presence of 20 mol % of Cu_2O (entries 17 and 18).

To our delight, heteroaryl bromides and chlorides are suitable substrates for the Sonogashira reaction under the standard conditions (Table 3). In the presence of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, PPh₃ (L2), K₂CO₃, and TBAB, 3-bromopyridine

⁽⁷⁾ For selected papers, see: (a) Li, J.-H.; Tang, B.-X.; Tao, L.-M.; Liang, Y.; Zhang, M.-B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 7488. (b) White, B.; Yin, M.; Hall, A.; Sergey, D. L.; Rahman, T.; Turro, N.; O'Brien, S. *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 2095.

JOC Note

_

TABLE 2.	Octahedral Cu ₂ O Nano	particles/PPh ₃ (L2)-Ca	atalyzed Cross-0	Couplings of Arvl	Halides (1) with	Terminal Alkynes (2) in TBAB ^a

	$R' = \frac{Cu_2O, PPh_3}{TBAB, K_2CO_3, 135-140 \text{ °C}} R' = R'$				
	R^/	TBAB, K ₂ CO ₃ , 135	-140 ℃ RҲ-		ĸ
	1 2	11		3-12	: 11 (0 () h
entry	aryl halide	alkyne	recycle no	time (h)	yield $(\%)^b$
			1	20	88 (3)
1	0₂N →-I	$Ph \longrightarrow (2a)$	1	20	91 (3)
1	(1b)	Ph-== (2a)	2	20	93 (3)
			3 4	20 20	92 (3)
			4	20	95 (3)
2		(2a)		24	96 (4)
3	(1c)	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁ -= (2 b)		36	95 (5)
4	(1c)	$= (CH_2)_2 OH (2c)$		36	93 (6)
7	(10)	(Chi2)2011 (2c)			
	Me		1	24 24	83 (7) 85 (7)
5	Wie	(2a)	1 2	24 24	85 (7) 85 (7)
5	(1d)	(2a)	3	24 24	85 (7) 84 (7)
	(14)		4	24	84 (7) 88 (7)
				20	95 (8)
6		(2a)	1	20	93 (8)
Ũ		()	2	20	96 (8)
7	(1e)	(2b)		36	98 (9)
8	(1e)	(2c)		36	61 (10)
9	(1e)	=-CH ₂ OH (2d)		36	66 (11)
		(2 4)			
10	(1e)	$\langle \rangle = (2e)$		36	71 (12)
	NH ₂	(20)			
11		(2a)		24	50 (13)
	(1f)	(24)		21	50 (15)
	0,				
12	Br (1g)	(2a)		26	90 (14)
13	\swarrow Br (1h)	(2a)		30	23 (4)
14^{c}	(1h)	(2a)		30	55 (4)
		· · ·			
15 ^c	MeO Br (1i)	(2a)		36	40 (4)
16		(2a)		30	83 (3)
17^{c}		(2a)		30	26 (14)
1 8 ^c		(2a)		36	10 (4)
	(/				

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.7 mmol), octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles (10 mol %), L2 (20 mol %), K₂CO₃ (1 mmol), and TBAB (1.5 g) at 135–140 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Octahedral Cu₂O (20 mol %) and ligand (40 mol %).

(1m) was reacted with alkyne 2a, 2b, 2e, or 2f smoothly to afford the corresponding products 15, 16, 18, and 12 in moderate to good yields (entries 1, 2, 4, and 5). However, alkynol 2c was an unsuitable substrate for the reaction with bromide 1m (entry 3). The other heteroaryl bromides 1n-q also underwent the reaction successfully in moderate to good yields under the same conditions (entries 6–9). Although 2-chloropyridine (1r) provided a low yield, the other two heteroaryl chlorides 1s and

1t worked well with alkyne 2a to give the target products in high yields (entries 10–12). It is worth noting that the Cu₂O/L2/TBAB system in the couplings of 1m and 1s with 2a could also be recovered and reused (entries 1 and 11).

In conclusion, we have developed a novel copper catalytic system for the Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction. In the presence of the octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles, L2, K_2CO_3 , and TBAB, a variety of aryl and heteroaryl halides could perform

JOC Note

entry	aryl halide	alkyne	recycle no	time (h)	yield $(\%)^b$
	Br			24	83 (15)
1		Ph	1	24	84 (15)
	`N´ (1m)		2	24	88 (15)
2	(1m)	<i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁		24	69 (16)
3	(1m)	$= (CH_2)_2 OH (2c)$		24	trace (17)
4	(1m)			24	82 (18)
5	(1m)	MeO-{		24	74 (12)
6	$\bigvee_{N}^{=N}$ Br (1n)	(2 a)		26	48 (19)
7	$N \rightarrow Br (10)$	(2a)		24	46 (20)
8	N (1p)	(2a)		24	87 (21)
9	$\mathbb{S}^{N}_{S} \to \mathbb{B}^{r}$	(2 a)		36	43 (22)
10	N CI (1r)	(2a)		31	19 (23)
				24	90 (19)
11			1	24	91 (19)
		(2a)	2	24	95 (19)
			3	24	91 (19)
			4	24	98 (19)
12		(2a)		24	76 (24)

TABLE 3.	The Cu ₂ O/PPh ₃ (L2)/TBAI	3 System for the Reactions	of Heteroaryl Halides (1) with	Terminal Alkynes (2) ^a
----------	--	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.7 mmol), octahedral Cu₂O nanoparticles (10 mol %), L2 (20 mol %), K₂CO₃ (1 mmol), and TBAB (1.5 g) at 135–140 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yield.

the coupling with terminal alkynes efficiently in moderate to excellent yields. Furthermore, the $Cu_2O/L2/TBAB$ system could be reused several times without loss of activity. Noteworthy is that the couplings of alkynols are successful under the present conditions. Efforts to apply the catalytic system in other cross-coupling transformations are underway in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Typical Experimental Procedure for the Cu₂O/PPh₃/TBAB (*n*-Bu₄NBr) System for the Cross-Coupling Reactions of Aryl and Heteroaryl Halides with Terminal Alkynes. A mixture of aryl halide 1 (0.5 mmol), alkyne 2 (0.7 mmol), pyramid-like Cu₂O nanoparticles (10 mol %), PPh₃ (L2; 20 mol %), K₂CO₃ (2 equiv), and TBAB (1.5 g) was stirred at 135–140 °C for the desired time (indicated in Table 2) until complete consumption of starting material was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was finished, diethyl ether was poured into the mixture, then washed with water, extracted with diethyl ether, dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane or hexane/ethyl acetate) to afford the desired coupled product.

After initial experimentation, the residue (the Cu₂O nanoparticles/ PPh₃/TBAB system) was then solidified (evaporated in vacuo and cooled) and subjected to a second run of the Sonogashira reaction by charging with the same substrates (aryl halide, alkyne, and K_2CO_3).

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20572020), Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation (No. 101012), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (No. 206102), New Century Excellent Talents in University (No. NCET-06-0711), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 05JJ1002), and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20060542007) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Analytical data and spectra (¹H and ¹³C NMR) for all the products **3–16**, **18–22**, and **24**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

JO070538O